Pt. 63, Subpt. WWWW, Table 15

You must submit a(n)	The report must contain	You must submit the report
1. Compliance report	a. A statement that there were no deviations during that reporting period if there were no deviations from any emission limitations (emission limit, operating limit, opacity limit, and visible emission limit) that apply to you and there were no deviations from the requirements for work practice standards in Table 4 to this subpart that apply to you. If there were no periods during which the CMS, including CEMS, and operating parameter monitoring systems, was out of control as specified in §63.8(c)(7), the report must also contain a statement that there were no periods during which the CMS was out of control during the reporting period.	Semiannually according to the requirements in § 63.5910(b).
	b. The information in §63.5910(d) if you have a deviation from any emission limitation (emission limit, operating limit, or work practice standard) during the reporting period. If there were periods during which the CMS, including CEMS, and operating parameter monitoring systems, was out of control, as specified in §63.8(c)(7), the report must contain the information in §63.5910(e).	Semiannually according to the requirements in § 63.5910(b).
	c. The information in §63.10(d)(5)(i) if you had a startup, shutdown or malfunction during the reporting period, and you took actions consistent with your startup, shutdown, and malfunction plan.	Semiannually according to the requirements in § 63.5910(b).
 An immediate startup, shut- down, and malfunction report if you had a startup, shut- down, or malfunction during the reporting period that is not consistent with your startup, shutdown, and mal- function plan. 	a. Actions taken for the event	By fax or telephone within 2 working days after starting actions inconsistent with the plan.
idiodon padin	b. The information in §63.10(d)(5)(ii)	By letter within 7 working days after the end of the event unless you have made alternative arrangements with the permitting authority. (§ 63.10(d)(5)(ii)).

Table 15 to Subpart WWWW of Part 63—Applicability of General Provisions (Subpart A) to Subpart WWWW of Part 63

As specified in $\S 63.5925$, the parts of the General Provisions which apply to you are shown in the following table:

The general provisions reference	That addresses	And applies to subpart WWWW of part 63	Subject to the following additional information
§ 63.1(a)(1)	General applicability of the general provisions.	Yes	Additional terms defined in subpart WWWW of Part 63, when overlap between subparts A and WWWW of Part 63 of this part, subpart WWWW of Part 63 takes prece- dence.
§63.1(a)(2) through (4)	provisions.	Yes.	
§ 63.1(a)(5)	Reserved	No.	
§ 63.1(a)(6)		Yes.	
§63.1(a)(7) through (9)	Reserved	No.	
§ 63.1(a)(10) through (14).	General applicability of the general provisions.		
§ 63.1(b)(1)	Initial applicability determination	Yes	Subpart WWWW of Part 63 clarifies the applicability in §§ 63.5780 and 63.5785.
§ 63.1(b)(2)	Reserved	No	
§ 63.1(b)(3)		Yes.	
§ 63.1(c)(1)	Applicability of this part after a relevant standard has been set under this part.	Yes	Subpart WWWW of Part 63 clarifies the applicability of each para- graph of subpart A to sources subject to subpart WWWW of Part 63.

Environmental Protection Agency

The general provisions reference	That addresses	And applies to subpart WWWW of part 63	Subject to the following additional information
§ 63.1(c)(2)	Title V operating permit requirement	Yes	All major affected sources are required to obtain a title V operating permit. Area sources are not subject to subpart WWWW of Part 63.
§ 63.1(c)(3) and (4) § 63.1(c)(5)	Reserved	No. Yes.	
§ 63.1(d) § 63.1(e)	Reserved	No. Yes.	
§ 63.2	Definitions	Yes	Subpart WWWW of Part 63 defines terms in § 63.5935. When overlap between subparts A and WWWW of Part 63 occurs, you must comply with the subpart WWWW of Part 63 definitions, which take precedence over the subpart A definitions.
§ 63.3	Units and abbreviations	Yes	Other units and abbreviations used in subpart WWWW of Part 63 are defined in subpart WWWW of Part 63.
§ 63.4	Prohibited activities and circumvention.	Yes	§63.4(a)(3) through (5) is reserved and does not apply.
§63.5(a)(1) and (2)	Applicability of construction and reconstruction.	Yes	Existing facilities do not become re- constructed under subpart WWWW of Part 63.
§ 63.5(b)(1)	Relevant standards for new sources upon construction.	Yes	Existing facilities do not become re- constructed under subpart WWWW of Part 63.
§ 63.5(b)(2) § 63.5(b)(3)	Reserved New construction/reconstruction	No. Yes	Existing facilities do not become re- constructed under subpart WWWW of Part 63.
§ 63.5(b)(4)	Construction/reconstruction notification.	Yes	Existing facilities do not become re- constructed under subpart WWWW of Part 63.
§ 63.5(b)(5) § 63.5(b)(6)	Reserved Equipment addition or process change.	No. Yes	Existing facilities do not become re- constructed under subpart WWWW of Part 63.
§ 63.5(d)(1)	Reserved General application for approval of construction or reconstruction.	No. Yes	Existing facilities do not become re- constructed under subpart WWWW of Part 63.
§ 63.5(d)(2)	Application for approval of construction.	Yes.	WWW or run oo.
§ 63.5(d)(3)	Application for approval of reconstruction.	No.	
§ 63.5(d)(4) § 63.5(e)(1) through (5)	Additional information	Yes. Yes.	
§ 63.5(f)(1) and (2)	Approval of construction or reconstruction based on prior State	Yes.	
§ 63.6(a)(1)	preconstruction review. Applicability of compliance with standards and maintenance re-	Yes.	
§ 63.6(a)(2)	quirements. Applicability of area sources that increase HAP emissions to become major sources.	Yes.	
§63.6(b)(1) through (5)	Compliance dates for new and re- constructed sources.	Yes	Subpart WWWW of Part 63 clarifies compliance dates in § 63.5800.
§ 63.6(b)(6) § 63.6(b)(7)	Reserved Compliance dates for new operations or equipment that cause an area source to become a	No. Yes	New operations at an existing facility are not subject to new source standards.
§ 63.6(c)(1) and (2)	major source. Compliance dates for existing sources.	Yes	Subpart WWWW of Part 63 clarifies compliance dates in § 63.5800.

40 CFR Ch. I (7-1-09 Edition)

The general provisions reference	That addresses	And applies to subpart WWWW of part 63	Subject to the following additional information
§ 63.6(c)(3) and (4)	Reserved	No.	
§ 63.6(c)(5)	Compliance dates for existing area sources that become major.	Yes	Subpart WWWW of Part 63 clarifies compliance dates in §63.5800.
§ 63.6(d) § 63.6(e)(1) and (2)	Reserved Operation & maintenance require-	No. Yes.	
§ 63.6(e)(3)	ments. Startup, shutdown, and malfunction	Yes	Subpart WWWW of Part 63 re-
	plan and recordkeeping.		quires a startup, shutdown, and malfunction plan only for sources using add-on controls.
§ 63.6(f)(1)	Compliance except during periods of startup, shutdown, and mal-function.	No	Subpart WWWW of Part 63 requires compliance during periods of startup, shutdown, and malfunction, except startup, shutdown, and malfunctions for sources using add-on controls.
§ 63.6(f)(2) and (3)	Methods for determining compliance	Yes.	
§ 63.6(g)(1) through (3)	Alternative standard	Yes.	
§ 63.6(h)	Opacity and visible emission Standards.	No	Subpart WWWW of Part 63 does not contain opacity or visible emission standards.
§63.6(i)(1) through (14)	Compliance extensions	Yes.	
§ 63.6(i)(15)	Reserved	No.	
§ 63.6(i)(16)	Compliance extensions	Yes.	
§ 63.6(j)	Presidential compliance exemption	Yes.	
§ 63.7(a)(1)	Applicability of performance testing requirements.	Yes.	
§ 63.7(a)(2)	Performance test dates	No	Subpart WWWW of Part 63 initial compliance requirements are in §63.5840.
§ 63.7(a)(3)	CAA Section 114 authority	Yes.	
§ 63.7(b)(1)	Notification of performance test	Yes.	
§ 63.7(b)(2)	Notification rescheduled performance test.	Yes.	
§ 63.7(c)	Quality assurance program, including test plan.	Yes	Except that the test plan must be submitted with the notification of the performance test.
§ 63.7(d)	Performance testing facilities	Yes.	
§63.7(e)	Conditions for conducting performance tests.	Yes	Performance test requirements are contained in § 63.5850. Additional requirements for conducting performance tests for continuous lamination/casting are included in § 63.5870.
§ 63.7(f)	Use of alternative test method	Yes.	
§ 63.7(g)	Performance test data analysis, recordkeeping, and reporting.	Yes.	
§ 63.7(h)	Waiver of performance tests	Yes.	
§ 63.8(a)(1) and (2)	Applicability of monitoring requirements.	Yes.	
§ 63.8(a)(3)	Reserved	No.	
§ 63.8(a)(4)	Monitoring requirements when using flares.	Yes.	
§ 63.8(b)(1) § 63.8(b)(2) and (3)	Conduct of monitoring exceptions Multiple effluents and multiple monitoring systems.	Yes. Yes.	
§ 63.8(c)(1)	Compliance with CMS operation and maintenance requirements.	Yes	This section applies if you elect to use a CMS to demonstrate continuous compliance with an emission limit.
§ 63.8(c)(2) and (3)	Monitoring system installation	Yes	This section applies if you elect to use a CMS to demonstrate continuous compliance with an emission limit.
§ 63.8(c)(4)	CMS requirements	Yes	This section applies if you elect to use a CMS to demonstrate continuous compliance with an emission limit.
§ 63.8(c)(5)	Continuous Opacity Monitoring System (COMS) minimum procedures.	No	Subpart WWWW of Part 63 does not contain opacity standards.

Environmental Protection Agency

	I		
The general provisions reference	That addresses	And applies to subpart WWWW of part 63	Subject to the following additional information
§ 63.8(c)(6) through (8)	CMS calibration and periods CMS is out of control.	Yes	This section applies if you elect to use a CMS to demonstrate continuous compliance with an emission limit.
§ 63.8(d)	CMS quality control program, in- cluding test plan and all previous versions.	Yes	This section applies if you elect to use a CMS to demonstrate continuous compliance with an emission limit.
§ 63.8(e)(1)	Performance evaluation of CMS	Yes	This section applies if you elect to use a CMS to demonstrate continuous compliance with an emission limit.
§ 63.8(e)(2)	Notification of performance evaluation.	Yes	This section applies if you elect to use a CMS to demonstrate continuous compliance with an emission limit.
§63.8(e)(3) and (4)	CMS requirements/alternatives	Yes	This section applies if you elect to use a CMS to demonstrate continuous compliance with an emission limit.
§ 63.8(e)(5)(i)	Reporting performance evaluation results.	Yes	This section applies if you elect to use a CMS to demonstrate continuous compliance with an emission limit.
§ 63.8(e)(5)(ii)	Results of COMS performance evaluation.	No	Subpart WWWW of Part 63 does not contain opacity standards.
§ 63.8(f)(1) through (3)	Use of an alternative monitoring method.	Yes.	
§ 63.8(f)(4)	Request to use an alternative monitoring method.	Yes.	
§ 63.8(f)(5)	Approval of request to use an alternative monitoring method.	Yes.	
§ 63.8(f)(6)	Request for alternative to relative accuracy test and associated records.	Yes	This section applies if you elect to use a CMS to demonstrate continuous compliance with an emission limit.
§ 63.8(g)(1) through (5) § 63.9(a)(1) through (4)	Data reduction	Yes. Yes.	
§ 63.9(b)(2) § 63.9(b)(2)	Initial notification applicability Notification for affected source with initial startup before effective date of standard.	Yes. Yes.	
§ 63.9(b)(3) § 63.9(b)(4)(i)	Reserved	No. Yes.	
§ 63.9(b)(4)(ii) through (iv).	Reserved	No.	
§ 63.9(b)(4)(v)	Notification for a new or reconstructed major affected source with initial startup after effective date for which an application for approval of construction or reconstruction is required.	Yes	Existing facilities do not become re- constructed under subpart WWWW of Part 63.
§ 63.9(b)(5)	Notification that you are subject to this subpart for new or reconstructed affected source with initial startup after effective date and for which an application for approval of construction or reconstruction is not required.		Existing facilities do not become re- constructed under subpart WWWW of Part 63.
§ 63.9(c) § 63.9(d)	Request for compliance extension Notification of special compliance requirements for new source.	Yes. Yes.	
§ 63.9(e) § 63.9(f)	Notification of performance test Notification of opacity and visible emissions observations.	Yes. No	Subpart WWWW of Part 63 does not contain opacity or visible emission standards.

The general provisions reference	That addresses	And applies to subpart WWWW of part 63	Subject to the following additional information
§ 63.9(g)(1)	Additional notification requirements for sources using CMS.	Yes	This section applies if you elect to use a CMS to demonstrate continuous compliance with an emission limit.
§ 63.9(g)(2)	Notification of compliance with opacity emission standard.	No	Subpart WWWW of Part 63 does not contain opacity emission standards.
§ 63.9(g)(3)	Notification that criterion to continue use of alternative to relative accuracy testing has been exceeded.	Yes	This section applies if you elect to use a CMS to demonstrate continuous compliance with an emission limit.
§63.9(h)(1) through (3)	Notification of compliance status	Yes.	
§ 63.9(h)(4)	Reserved	No.	
§ 63.9(h)(5) and (6)	Notification of compliance status	Yes.	
§ 63.9(i)	Adjustment of submittal deadlines	Yes.	
§ 63.9(j) § 63.10(a)	Change in information provided Applicability of recordkeeping and reporting.	Yes. Yes.	
§ 63.10(b)(1)	Records retention	Yes.	
§ 63.10(b)(2)(i) through (v).	Records related to startup, shut- down, and malfunction.	Yes	Only applies to facilities that use an add-on control device.
§ 63.10(b)(2)(vi) through (xi).	CMS records, data on performance tests, CMS performance evalua- tions, measurements necessary to determine conditions of per-	Yes.	200 01 05 110 00 100
	formance tests, and performance evaluations.		
§ 63.10(b)(2)(xii)	Record of waiver of recordkeeping and reporting.	Yes.	
§ 63.10(b)(2)(xiii)	Record for alternative to the relative	Yes.	
§ 63.10(b)(2)(xiv)	accuracy test. Records supporting initial notification and notification of compliance	Yes.	
§ 63.10(b)(3)	status. Records for applicability determinations.	Yes.	
§ 63.10(c)(1)	CMS records	Yes	This section applies if you elect to use a CMS to demonstrate continuous compliance with an emission limit.
§ 63.10(c)(2) through (4)	Reserved	No.	
§ 63.10(c)(5) through (8)	CMS records	Yes	This section applies if you elect to use a CMS to demonstrate con- tinuous compliance with an emis- sion limit.
§ 63.10(c)(9)	Reserved	No.	
§ 63.10(c)(10) through (15).	CMS records	Yes	This section applies if you elect to use a CMS to demonstrate con- tinuous compliance with an emis- sion limit.
§ 63.10(d)(1)	General reporting requirements	Yes.	
§ 63.10(d)(2)	Report of performance test results	Yes.	Cubrant MANANAN of Bort CO door
§ 63.10(d)(3)	Reporting results of opacity or visible emission observations.	No	Subpart WWWW of Part 63 does not contain opacity or visible emission standards.
§ 63.10(d)(4)	Progress reports as part of extension of compliance.	Yes.	
§ 63.10(d)(5)	Startup, shutdown, and malfunction	Yes	Only applies if you use an add-on control device.
§ 63.10(e)(1) through (3)	reports. Additional reporting requirements for CMS.	Yes	This section applies if you have an add-on control device and elect to use a CEM to demonstrate continuous compliance with an emis-
§ 63.10(e)(4)	Reporting COMS data	No	sion limit. Subpart WWWW of Part 63 does not contain opacity standards.
§ 63.10(f)	Waiver for recordkeeping or reporting.	Yes.	
§ 63.11	Control device requirements	Yes	Only applies if you elect to use a flare as a control device.
§ 63.12	State authority and delegations	Yes.	

Environmental Protection Agency

The general provisions reference	That addresses	And applies to subpart WWWW of part 63	Subject to the following additional information
§ 63.13	Addresses of State air pollution control agencies and EPA Regional Offices.		
§ 63.14 § 63.15	, ,		

APPENDIX A TO SUBPART WWWW OF PART 63—TEST METHOD FOR DETER-MINING VAPOR SUPPRESSANT EFFEC-TIVENESS

1. Scope and Application

1.1 Applicability. If a facility is using vapor suppressants to reduce hazardous air pollutant (HAP) emissions, the organic HAP emission factor equations in Table 1 to this subpart require that the vapor suppressant effectiveness factor be determined. The vapor suppressant effectiveness factor is then used as one of the inputs into the appropriate organic HAP emission factor equation. The vapor suppressant effectiveness factor test is not intended to quantify overall volatile emissions from a resin, nor to be used as a stand-alone test for emissions determination. This test is designed to evaluate the performance of film forming vapor suppressant resin additives. The results of this test are used only in combination with the organic HAP emissions factor equations in Table 1 to this subpart to generate emission factors.

1.1.1 The open molding process consists of application of resin and reinforcements to the mold surface, followed by a manual rollout process to consolidate the laminate, and the curing stage where the laminate surface is not disturbed. Emission studies have shown that approximately 50 percent to 55 percent of the emissions occur while the resin is being applied to the mold. Vapor suppressants have little effect during this portion of the lamination process, but can have a significant effect during the curing stage. Therefore, if a suppressant is 100 percent effective, the overall emissions from the process would be reduced by 45 percent to 50 percent, representing the emissions generated during the curing stage. In actual practice, vapor suppressant effectiveness will be less than 100 percent and the test results determine the specific effectiveness in terms of the vapor suppressant effectiveness factor. This factor represents the effectiveness of a specific combination of suppressant additive and resin formulation.

1.1.2 A resin manufacturer may supply a molder with a vapor-suppressed resin, and employ this test to provide the molder with the vapor suppressant effectiveness factor for that combination of resin and vapor sup-

pressant. The factor qualifies the effectiveness of the vapor suppressant when the resin is tested in the specific formulation supplied to the molder. The addition of fillers or other diluents by the molder may impact the effectiveness of the vapor suppressant. The formulation, including resin/glass ratio and filler content, used in the test should be similar to the formulation to be used in production. The premise of this method is to compare laminate samples made with vapor suppressant additive and made without the additive. The difference in emissions between the two yields the vapor suppressant effectiveness factor.

1.1.3 The method uses a mass balance determination to establish the relative loss of the volatile component from unsaturated polyester or vinyl ester resins, with and without vapor suppressant additives. The effectiveness of a specific vapor suppressant and resin mixture is determined by comparing the relative volatile weight losses from vapor suppressed and non-suppressed resins. The volatile species are not separately analyzed. While the species contained in the volatile component are not determined, an extended listing of potential monomer that may be contained in unsaturated polvester or vinvl ester resins is provided in Table 1.1. However, most polyester and vinyl ester resin formulations presently used by the composites industry only contain styrene monomer.

TABLE 1.1—LIST OF MONOMERS POTENTIALLY PRESENT IN UNSATURATED POLYESTER/VINYL ESTER RESINS

Monomer	CAS No.
Styrene	100-42-5.
Vinyl toluene	25013-15-4.
Methyl methacrylate	80-62-6.
Alpha methyl styrene	98-83-9.
Para methyl styrene	Vinyl toluene isomer.
Chlorostyrene	1331–28–8.
Diallyl phthalate	131–17–9.
Other volatile monomers	Various.

2. Summary of Method

2.1 Differences in specific resin and suppressant additive chemistry affect the performance of a vapor suppressant. The purpose of this method is to quantify the effectiveness of a specific combination of vapor